Research article

Open Access

Tamoxifen and the Rafoxifene analog LY117018: their effects on arachidonic acid release from cells in culture and on prostaglandin I₂ production by rat liver cells Lawrence Levine*

Address: Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University Waltham, MA 02454, USA

Email: Lawrence Levine* - llevine@brandeis.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 13 August 2004

BMC Cancer 2004, 4:49 doi:10.1186/1471-2407-4-49

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/4/49

© 2004 Levine; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 01 March 2004 Accepted: 13 August 2004

Abstract

Background: Tamoxifen is being used successfully to treat breast cancer. However, tamoxifen also increases the risk of developing endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women. Raloxifene also decreases breast cancer in women at high risk and may have a lower risk at developing cancer of the uterus. Tamoxifen has been shown to stimulate arachidonic acid release from rat liver cells. I have postulated that arachidonic acid release from cells may be associated with cancer chemoprevention.

Methods: Rat liver, rat glial, human colon carcinoma and human breast carcinoma cells were labelled with [³H] arachidonic acid. The release of the radiolabel from these cells during incubation with tamoxifen and the raloxifene analog LY117018 was measured. The prostaglandin I_2 produced during incubation of the rat liver cells with μ M concentrations of tamoxifen and the raloxifene analog was quantitatively estimated.

Results: Tamoxifen is about 5 times more effective than LY117018 at releasing arachidonic acid from all the cells tested. In rat liver cells only tamoxifen stimulates basal prostaglandin I_2 production and that induced by lactacystin and 12-O-tetradecanoyl-phorbol-13-acetate. LY117018, however, blocks the tamoxifen stimulated prostaglandin production. The stimulated prostaglandin I_2 production is rapid and not affected either by preincubation of the cells with actinomycin or by incubation with the estrogen antagonist ICI-182,780.

Conclusions: Tamoxifen and the raloxifene analog, LY117018, may prevent estrogen-independent as well as estrogen-dependent breast cancer by stimulating phospholipase activity and initiating arachidonic acid release. The release of arachidonic acid and/or molecular reactions that accompany that release may initiate pathways that prevent tumor growth. Oxygenation of the intracellularly released arachidonic acid and its metabolic products may mediate some of the pharmacological actions of tamoxifen and raloxifene.

Background

The successful treatment and prevention of estrogendependent breast cancer in women by tamoxifen is attributed to its estrogen receptor (ER) occupancy [reviewed in [1,2]]. In the N-nitrosomethylurea (NMU) induced breast cancer model in rats, tumor growth is estrogen dependent

and tamoxifen is considerably more effective than raloxifene [3]. In the dimethylbenzanthracene (DMBA)induced model in rats, in which tumor growth is predominantly dependent on prolactin for growth, tamoxifen and raloxifene show effective anti-tumor action.

Tamoxifen and raloxifene have several properties in common; e.g. prevention of tumors in the DMBA induced rat mammary model, maintenance of bone density in the ovariectomized rat and reduction of low density lipoprotein cholesterol. The partial estrogen agonist activity of tamoxifen on uterine tissue, however, increases the risk of developing endometrial cancer. This does not appear to occur with raloxifene.

Tamoxifen stimulates arachidonic acid release from rat liver cells [4]. In this report, I have compared tamoxifen and the raloxifene analog LY117018 for effectiveness at releasing arachidonic acid (AA) from rat liver, rat glial, human colon carcinoma and human breast carcinoma cells and their effects on prostaglandin (PG) I₂ production by the rat liver cells. Although both compounds release AA from these cells, LY117018 is less effective. Only tamoxifen stimulates both basal and PGI₂ production induced by incubation of rat liver cells with lactacystin in the presence of 12-O-tetradecanoyl-phorbol-13-acetate (TPA). LY117018, however, inhibits the PGI₂ production stimulated by tamoxifen.

The intracellular release of AA and/or the cellular reactions that accompany that release may initiate pathways that prevent tumor growth. The tissue specific effects of tamoxifen and LY117018 may be associated with the AA or with cyclooxygenase (COX) activity and/or one of the many bioactivities resulting from oxygenation and metabolism of the released AA.

Methods

The C-9 rat liver and BT-20 human breast carcinoma cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. The C-6 rat glial cell line was obtained from Dr. Elaine Y. Lai in the Department of Biology, Brandeis University and maintained in medium 199. The human colon carcinoma cells (HT-29) were obtained from Dr. Basil Rigas, American Health Foundation, Valhalla, NY and maintained in McCoy's medium. [3H]AA (91.8 Ci/mmol) was purchased from NEN Life Science Products, Inc. (Boston, MA, USA); ICI-182,780 from Tocris Cookson, Inc. (Ballwin, MO, USA); tamoxifen and 4-OH-tamoxifen were from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). LY117018 was obtained from Dr. David A. Cox, Eli Lilly and Co. (Indianapolis, IN, USA). Raloxifene was extracted from EVISTA® tablets with dimethylsulfoxide.

Two days prior to experiments, the cells were treated with 0.25% trypsin-EDTA and, after addition of minimum essential medium (MEM), medium 199 or McCoy's medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum, the floating cells were seeded onto 35 mm culture dishes. The plating densities varied from 0.1 to 0.5×10^5 cells/35 mm dish. The freshly seeded cultures were incubated for 24-h to allow for cell attachment. After decantation of incubating media, 1.0 ml fresh media (MEM for the rat liver and BT-20 cells, medium 199 for the rat glial or McCoy's for the HT-29 cells respectively) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and [3H] AA (0.2 µCi/ml) was added and the cells incubated for 24-h. The cells were washed 4 times with MEM and incubated for various periods of time with 1.0 ml of MEM, medium 199 or McCoy's containing 1.0 mg bovine serum albumin (BSA)/ml and different concentrations of each test compound. The culture fluids were then decanted, centrifuged at 2000 × g for 10 min, and 200 µl of the supernate counted for radioactivity. Radioactivity recovered in the washes before the 6-h incubation was compared to input radioactivity to calculate the % radioactivity incorporated into the cells [5].

As measured by thin layer chromatography (TLC), of the [³H] released from radiolabelled methylcholanthrene transformed fibroblasts (about 20% after a 3-h stimulation by serum), 92% was AA, 4% was PGE₂, 0.6% and 1% were PGF_{2α} and phospholipids respectively [6]. When labelled to equilibrium, the [³H] AA had been incorporated into phosphatidylcholine (50%), phosphatidylethanolamine (36%), phodphatidylserine (9%) and triglycerides (10%) [7]. Such distribution varied among 12 cell lines [8]. The [³H] AA label released from human colorectal cancer cell lines (HCT116 and SW180) was AA as measured by TLC [9].

For PGI₂ production by the rat liver cells, 1.0 ml of MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, lacking [³H] AA, was added after the first 24-h incubation. The cells were incubated for another 24-h, washed three times with MEM, then incubated with lactacystin and TPA in the presence and absence of the test compounds in MEM/BSA for various periods of time. The culture fluids were decanted and analyzed for 6-keto-PGF_{1α}, the stable hydrolytic product of PGI₂, by radioimmunoassay [10]. At the sub-confluent cell densities used in these experiments (about 5×10^4 or less per dish) only the major COX product of rat liver cells (97% 6-keto-PGF_{1α}) could be measured. The other two COX products, PGE₂ (2%) and PGF_{2α} (1%) could not be measured.

The release of [³ H] AA is presented as a percentage of the radioactivity incorporated by the cells. Except for the time-course experiments, which used duplicate dishes (Fig. 5), three to six culture dishes were used for each experimental



Figure I

AA release from (A) rat liver cells (C-9) stimulated by tamoxifen, 4-OH-hydroxy-tamoxifen, LY117018 and raloxifene and (B) from rat glial cells incubated with tamoxifen and LY117018. The cells were incubated for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean value and the brackets give the SEM. * = statistically significant vs control. The data are representative of several independent experiments with similar results.



Figure 2

AA release from (A) human breast carcinoma cells (BT-20) and (B) human colon carcinoma cells (HT-29) by tamoxifen and LY117,018. The cells were incubated for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean values and the brackets give the SEM. * = statistically significant vs appropriate MEM/BSA control. The data are representative of several independent experiments.

point. The data are expressed as mean values \pm SEM. The data were evaluated statistically by the unpaired *Student's t-test*. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

AA Release

The release of AA after incubation of the rat liver cells with tamoxifen, 4-OH-tamoxifen, LY117018 and raloxifene for 6 h is shown in Fig. 1-A. Tamoxifen is the most effective: it releases AA at 10 µM. 4-OH-tamoxifen, an active metabolite of tamoxifen [2] is also effective. Raloxifene and LY117018 release AA from the rat liver cells, but are about 5 times less potent (at the doses tested) than tamoxifen. At µM concentrations, all induce apoptosis [11,12]. Tamoxifen, but not 4-OH-tamoxifen, has also been reported to induce apoptosis in p53(-) normal human mammary epithelial cells [13]. Yet, the metabolite binds to the ER with higher affinity than tamoxifen [14,15]. The release of AA by tamoxifen and LY117018 is not unique to the rat liver cells. Both release AA from rat glial cells (Fig. 1-B). Tamoxifen is more effective at releasing AA than is LY117018.

The highest level of [³H] AA released into the medium which contains BSA (1 mg/ml) would be 0.25 nM (the specific activity of the [³H] AA is 92 Ci/mmole). Since TLC or HPLC analyses were not done, the concentration of the total released AA could not be quantitatively estimated.

However, even treatment of a colorectal cancer cell line with 200 μ M AA for 48-h leads to apoptosis [9].

The release of AA is neither species nor tissue specific. Both drugs also release AA from human breast carcinoma (BT-20) and human colon carcinoma (HT-29) cells (Fig. 2-A and 2-B). Again, LY117018 is less effective than tamoxifen.

PGI₂ production

Of the cultured cells studied, the COX mediated metabolic profile of AA has been described only in the rat liver cells. Thus, the effects of tamoxifen and LY117018 on PGI₂ production by these cells were determined. Tamoxifen stimulates basal PGI₂ production as well as that induced by lactacystin in the presence of TPA. LY117018 and raloxifene (Fig. 3-A) are ineffective in stimulating basal PGI₂ production. LY117018, at a concentration that does not affect PGI_2 production (50 μ M), inhibits the PGI₂ production stimulated by lactacystin in the presence of TPA (Fig. 3-B). The increased PGI₂ production probably reflects some stimulation of both induced and basal COX activity. In mouse neuronal and embryonic rat mesencephalic cells, COX-2 is induced by lactacystin as measured by western and northern blot analyses [16].

Identification of the induced and basal isoforms stimulated by tamoxifen in these rat liver cells was deduced



Figure 3

Effect of tamoxifen, LY117018 or raloxifene on (A) basal 6keto-PGF_{1 α} production and (B) the effect of tamoxifen or LY117018 on 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} production induced by lactacystin in the presence of TPA. Rat liver cells were incubated for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate or quadruplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean value and the brackets the SEM. * = Statistically significant vs control stimulation. ** = Statistically significant vs lactacystin plus TPA control. The data are representative of several independent experiments with the same results.

from the relative effectiveness of inhibition of PGI₂ production by celecoxib and piroxicam. Celecoxib is 7.5 times more effective than piroxicam as an inhibitor of COX-2, but 30,000 times less effective as an inhibitor of COX-1. Piroxicam is a selective inhibitor of COX-1 [17]. Celecoxib, the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) that is a selective inhibitor of COX-2, inhibits both induced and basal COX activity 20–80 times more effectively than piroxicam (Fig. 4-A and 4B). Thus, based on inhibition by these two NSAIDs, COX-2 is the most likely isoform expressed both constitutively and after induction by lactacystin in the presence of TPA in rat liver cells. This conclusion was confirmed by western blot analyses (Levine L, Tashjian A, unpublished data).



Figurê 4

Inhibition of (A) tamoxifen (16 μ M)-stimulated basal and (B) tamoxifen-stimulated induced 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} production (lactacystin in the presence of TPA) by celecoxib (*) and piroxicam (\Diamond). Rat liver cells were incubated with either (A) tamoxifen (16 μ M) or (B) lactacystin plus TPA in the presence of tamoxifen, for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate dishes.

Is COX-2 production non-genomic and ER-independent?

The time-course of stimulation by tamoxifen (15 μ M) of basal activity is shown in Fig. 5. Even after a 5-minute incubation (not shown in Fig. 5), stimulation by tamoxifen is significant statistically $[0.08 \pm 0.011 (n = 5)]$ vs 0.13 \pm 0.011 (n = 5) ng 6-keto-PGF_{1a} per ml in the absence and presence of tamoxifen, respectively]. Similar to AA release [4], stimulation of basal PGI_2 production by tamoxifen is not affected by preincubation of the cells for 2-h with 1 µM actinomycin D. The induction obtained by incubation with lactacystin in the presence of TPA is inhibited (Fig. 6-A). Stimulation of basal PGI₂ production by tamoxifen is unaffected by ICI-182,780 (Fig. 6-B). This estrogen antagonist, which binds to the ER with high affinity [18] did not affect the release of AA by tamoxifen [4] but does partially inhibit release of AA stimulated by 17β-estradiol, 22 (R)-cholesterol, indomethacin, trans-



Figure 5

Time-course of 6-keto-PGF₁ $_{\alpha}$ production by rat liver cells during incubation with 15 μ M tamoxifen (γ) and MEM/BSA control (O). Analyses were performed with duplicate and triplicate dishes; mean values are shown.

retinoic acid and the tyrosine analog of thiazolidinedione, GW7845 [19]. The rapidity of stimulation (5 min) and the lack of effect of actinomycin D or ICI-182,780 suggest that the stimulation of PGI_2 production by tamoxifen is non-genomic and does not require ER occupancy.

LY117018 blocks PGI₂ production stimulated by tamoxifen (Fig. 7). This blockade is unaffected by preincubation of the cells for 2-h with either actinomycin D or ICI-182,780 (data not shown). LY117018, however, does not inhibit the release of AA stimulated by tamoxifen; their combined effect is at least additive (Fig. 8).

Discussion

These studies demonstrate that, at μM concentrations, tamoxifen and the raloxifene analog, LY117,018 stimulate the release of AA from cells in culture. At nM levels, the release is not observed. The effectiveness of these two compounds at prevention of estrogen-dependent breast cancer reflects competition for the ER [1,2]. In addition to occupancy of the ER, I am postulating that these drugs, at µM concentrations, may also prevent breast cancer of estrogen independent tumors. Consistent with this hypothesis are the findings that even at 100 µM concentrations, ethanol extracts of Femora ® (letrozole) or Aramidex[®] (anastrozole) do not release AA from cells in culture (unpublished data). These two drugs inhibit estrogen synthesis by blocking aromatase enzymes and also prevent estrogen-dependent breast cancer [20]. In view of the stimulations of AA release by tamoxifen and LY117018 from human colon carcinoma cells (Fig. 2-A), the occurrence of colon cancer in women undergoing long term



Figure 6

Effect of (A) actinomycin D on basal or induced (lactacystin plus TPA) 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} production stimulated by tamoxifen and (B) effect of ICI-182,780 on basal 6-keto-PGF_{1 α} production stimulated by tamoxifen. In (A), rat liver cells were pre-incubated with 1 μ M actinomycin for 2-h and then incubated with tamoxifen (16 μ M) or lactacystin plus TPA for 6-h. In (B), rat liver cells were incubated with tamoxifen (16 μ M) and tamoxifen (16 μ M) and tamoxifen in the presence and absence of ICI-182,780 (16 μ M) for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean value and the brackets give the SEM. Parts of the experiments were repeated several times with the same results. * Statistically significant vs control. ** Statistically significant vs lactacystin plus TPA.

treatment with high levels of tamoxifen would be of interest.

A mechanism that most simply explains the release of AA by tamoxifen and LY117018 is the ability of such compounds to intercalate into cell membranes and affect phospholipase activities. The release of AA from endothe-lial cells by the Ca²⁺ ionophore A-23187 reflects phospholipase activities. It is regulated by phosphorylation of the enzyme [21]. The enzymic properties of the altered membrane may impact signaling mechanisms e.g.,



Figure 7

Effect of tamoxifen, LY117018 and tamoxifen plus LY118018 (all at 16 μ M), on 6-keto-PGF₁ production. The rat liver cells were incubated 6-h. The analyses were performed with quintuplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean value and the brackets the SEM. * Statistically significant vs tamoxifen.

pathways leading to apoptosis and COX induction. The intracellularly released AA also can serve as substrate for oxygenases. AA has intrinsic biologic activities that may also affect signaling pathways. Such changes would depend on the lipophilic properties of the compound and the composition of a particular membrane [22] and would vary from cell type to cell type, organelle to organelle and with the growth phase of the cell.

The AA release by tamoxifen and other reagents studied in my laboratory occurs with µM concentrations [4,5,19,23,24]. These experiments were carried out in the presence of BSA (1.0 mg/ml), and therefore do not differentiate between the protein-bound and free reagent. Thus, they are likely to be overestimated values. Nevertheless, the possibility that general necrotic cell death may cause AA release, must be considered. Tamoxifen, was found not to be toxic at concentrations of 10 to 20 μ M for A549 human lung adenocarcinoma (ER-negative) cells [25]. Nor was 10 µM tamoxifen toxic when tested on rat glial cells and breast cancer MCF-7 cells [26]. Even when cell viability of three different breast cell lines (ER-positive MCF-7; ER-negative MDA-MB-239 and ER-negative BT-20 cells) was measured after incubation with 25 µM tamoxifen for 24-h, the loss in viability was due to



Figure 8

AA release from rat liver cells by tamoxifen (12 μ M), LY117018 (48 μ M) and tamoxifen in presence of LY117018. Cells were incubated for 6-h. The analyses were performed with triplicate dishes. Each bar gives the mean value and the brackets the SEM. * = Statistically significant vs MEM/BSA control. ** = Statistically significant vs tamoxifen.

apoptosis [12] and was not the result of necrotic cell death. Concentrations of tamoxifen used in this report are comparable to those found to induce apoptosis, not necrotic cell death. The median concentration of tamoxifen and its metabolites for clinical effectiveness in the treatment of breast cancer varies from 0.8 μ M to 2.4 μ M, depending on the age of the woman [27].

When measured after a 6-h incubation, 10 μ M tamoxifen stimulates deesterification of membrane phospholipids as measured by extracellular AA release, (Fig. 1 and 2). The 6-h incubation may not be optimum for apoptosis to be observed, e.g. after a 6-h incubation of 5 μ M tamoxifen with breast cancer cells, apoptosis was induced in about 10% of the cells compared to 8% in control cells [12]. After 24- and 48-h incubation, apoptosis in the tamoxifen treated cells increased to 40 and 70%, respectively. Apoptosis, induced by this membrane perturbation after 6-h incubation, increased 4 to 7 fold after longer

incubation. It is apoptosis that may mediate the cancer preventative action of tamoxifen [11,12].

Some tissue specific effects of tamoxifen and raloxifene, e.g. on endometrial cells of the uterus, may be related to COX activity. At the low cell densities used in the present studies, the PGI₂ produced by the rat liver cells can be quantitatively determined. The effects of tamoxifen and LY117018 on the COX activity of the rat liver cells may be similar to their effects on other cells that express COX. Tamoxifen and LY117018 affect COX activity differently; only tamoxifen stimulates PGI2 production. AA, which regulates the production of lipoxygenase, COX and cytochrome P-450 epoxygenase products could impact many of the pharmacological actions of these two selective estrogen receptor modulators. AA can be oxygenated by COX isoforms, lipoxygenases, and cytochrome P-450 epoxygenases and their products converted to the prostaglandins, leukotrienes, epoxyeicostetranoic acids [28] and AA to the oxidative stress-related isoprostanes [29]. In the COX pathway, enzymes convert PGH₂ to the major physiologically active products, PGD₂, PGE₂, PGF_{2α}, PGI₂ and thromboxane A₂ [28]. They, in turn, can be converted enzymatically and nonenzymatically to other biologically active compounds that possess different pharmacological properties. Often, only one major product is synthesized by the cell [8]. Thus, tissues can be affected differently by changes in COX activity. AA or its metabolites, would have different biological effects depending upon the genetic capabilities of the individual cell being affected. In addition to the spectrum of activities of the prostanoids, oxygenation of AA by 5-, 12- and 15-lipoxygenase and epoxygenases yield other products located in different cells and tissues [30,31].

Competing interests

None declared.

Author's contributions

L.L. is the sole author and all of the experiments, with the exception of the western blots referred to as Levine L and Tashjian A (unpublished data), were conducted by L.L.

Acknowledgements

My thanks to Dr. Armen H. Tashjian, Jr., Department of Genetic and Complex Diseases, Harvard School of Public Health, for his continuing interest in these studies and Hilda B. Gjika for preparation of the manuscript.

References

- MacGregor JL, Jordan VC: Basic guide to the mechanisms of antiestrogen action. Pharmacol Rev 1998, 50:151-196.
- 2. Jordan VC, Morrow M: Tamoxifen, raloxifene, and the prevention of breast cancer. Endocr Rev 1999, 20:253-278.
- 3. Gottardis MM, Jordan VC: Antitumor actions of keoxifene and tamoxifen in the N-nitrosomethylurea-induced rat mammary carcinoma model. *Cancer Res* 1987, **47:**4020-4024.

- 4. Levine L: Tamoxifen stimulates arachidonic acid release from rat liver cells by an estrogen receptor-independent, non-genomic mechanism. *BMC Cancer* 2003, **3:**24.
- 5. Levine L: Stimulated release of arachidonic acid from rat liver cells by celecoxib and indomethacin. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2001, 65:31-35.
- 6. Hong SL, Levine L: Inhibition of arachidonic acid release from cells as the biochemical action of anti-inflammatory corticosteroids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1976, **73:**1730-1734.
- 7. Pong SS, Hong SL, Levine L: **Prostaglandin production by meth**ylcholanthrene-transformed mouse **BALB/3T3.** Requirement for protein synthesis. *J Biol Chem* 1977, **252**:1408-1413.
- 8. Levine L, Alam I: Deacylation of cellular lipids and arachidonic acid metabolism. Prog Lipid Res 1981, 20:81-88.
- Chan TA, Morin PJ, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW: Mechanisms underlying nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-mediated apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998, 95:681-686.
- 10. Levine L: Measurement of arachidonic acid metabolites by radioimmunoassay. Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology. Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology 31986:685-691.
- Gelmann EP: Tamoxifen induction of apoptosis in estrogen receptor-negative cancers: new tricks for an old dog? J Natl Cancer Inst 1996, 88:224-226.
- 12. Mandlekar S, Yu R, Tan TH, Kong AN: Activation of caspase-3 and c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase-1 signaling pathways in tamoxifen-induced apoptosis of human breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2000, **60:**5995-6000.
- Dietze EC, Caldwell LE, Grupin SL, Mancini M, Seewaldt VL: Tamoxifen but not 4-hydroxytamoxifen initiates apoptosis in p53(-) normal human mammary epithelial cells by inducing mitochondrial depolarization. J Biol Chem 2001, 276:5384-5394.
- 14. Robertson DW, Katzenellenbogen JA, Long DJ, Rorke EA, Katzenellenbogen BS: Tamoxifen antiestrogens. A comparison of the activity, pharmacokinetics, and metabolic activation of the cis and trans isomers of tamoxifen. J Steroid Biochem 1982, 16:1-13.
- 15. Hollstein M, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Harris CC: **p53 mutations** in human cancers. *Science* 1991, **253:**49-53.
- Rockwell P, Yuan H, Magnusson R, Figueiredo-Pereira ME: Proteasome inhibition in neuronal cells induces a proinflammatory response manifested by upregulation of cyclooxygenase-2, its accumulation as ubiquitin conjugates, and production of the prostaglandin PGE(2). Arch Biochem Biophys 2000, 374:325-333.
- 17. Vane JR, Bakhle YS, Botting RM: Cyclooxygenases I and 2. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 1998, 38:97-120.
- Wakeling AE: Use of pure antioestrogens to elucidate the mode of action of oestrogens. Biochem Pharmacol 1995, 49:1545-1549.
- Levine L: Stimulated release of arachidonic acid by agonists of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoic acid receptors. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2001, 65:229-232.
- 20. Mokbel K: **The evolving role of aromatase inhibitors in breast cancer.** *Int J Clin Oncol* 2002, **7:**279-283.
- Whatley RE, Satoh K, Zimmerman GA, McIntyre TM, Prescott SM: Proliferation-dependent changes in release of arachidonic acid from endothelial cells. J Clin Invest 1994, 94:1889-1900.
- 22. Whiting KP, Restall CJ, Brain PF: **Steroid hormone-induced** effects on membrane fluidity and their potential roles in nongenomic mechanisms. *Life Sci* 2000, **67:**743-757.
- 23. Levine L: Does the release of arachidonic acid from cells play a role in cancer chemoprevention? FASEB J 2003, 17:800-802.
- 24. Levine L: Lactacystin stimulates arachidonic acid metabolism in rat liver cells: effects of cell density on arachidonic acid release, PGI2 production and cyclooxygenase activity. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2000, 63:371-375.
- Croxtall JD, Choudhury Q, White JO, Flower RJ: Tamoxifen inhibits the release of arachidonic acid stimulated by thapsigargin in estrogen receptor-negative A549 cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1997, 1349:275-284.
- Zhang W, Couldwell WT, Song H, Takano T, Lin JH, Nedergaard M: Tamoxifen-induced enhancement of calcium signaling in glioma and MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 2000, 60:5395-5400.

- 27. Peyrade F, Frenay M, Etienne MC, Ruch F, Guillemare C, Francois E, Namer M, Ferrero JM, Milano G: Age-related difference in tamoxifen disposition. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1996, 59:401-410.
- 28. Smith WL: The eicosanoids and their biochemical mechanisms of action. Biochem | 1989, 259:315-324.
- Jourdan KB, Evans TW, Goldstraw P, Mitchell JA: Isoprostanes and PGE2 production in human isolated pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells: concomitant and differential release. FASEB J 1999, 13:1025-1030.
- 30. Brash AR: Lipoxygenases: occurrence, functions, catalysis,
- and acquisition of substrate. J Biol Chem 1999, 274:23679-23682. 31. Capdevila JH, Falck JR: The CYP P450 arachidonic acid monooxygenases: from cell signaling to blood pressure regulation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001, 285:571-576.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/4/49/prepub

